

Rhode Island
UNIFORM APPLICATION
FY 2016 BEHAVIORAL HEALTH REPORT
SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT
BLOCK GRANT

OMB - Approved 05/21/2013 - Expires 05/31/2016
(generated on 02/24/2016 3.43.29 PM)

Center for Substance Abuse Prevention
Division of State Programs

Center for Substance Abuse Treatment
Division of State and Community Assistance

I: State Information

State Information

I. State Agency for the Block Grant

Agency Name Rhode Island Department of Behavioral Healthcare, Developmental Disabilities and Hospitals (BHDDH)

Organizational Unit Division of Behavioral Health

Mailing Address 14 Harrington Road

City Cranston

Zip Code 02920

II. Contact Person for the Block Grant

First Name Maria

Last Name Montanaro

Agency Name Rhode Island Department of Behavioral Healthcare, Developmental Disabilities and Hospitals

Mailing Address 14 Harrington Road

City Cranston

Zip Code 02920

Telephone 401-462-2339

Fax 401-462-3204

Email Address maria.montanaro@bhddh.ri.gov

III. Expenditure Period

State Expenditure Period

From 7/1/2014

To 6/30/2015

Block Grant Expenditure Period

From 10/1/2012

To 9/30/2014

IV. Date Submitted

Submission Date 12/1/2015 10:46:24 AM

Revision Date 2/12/2016 9:32:58 AM

V. Contact Person Responsible for Report Submission

First Name Michelle

Last Name Brophy

Telephone 401-462-2770

Fax 401-462-3204

Email Address michelle.brophy@bhddh.ri.gov

VI. Contact Person Responsible for Substance Abuse Data

First Name Michelle

Last Name Brophy

Telephone 401-462-2770

Email Address michelle.brophy@bhddh.ri.gov

Footnotes:

II: Annual Report

Table 1 Priority Area and Annual Performance Indicators - Progress Report

Priority #: 1
Priority Area: Substance abusing pregnant women
Priority Type: SAT
Population(s): PWWDC

Goal of the priority area:

Improve behavioral health treatment outcomes for women.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Increase the use of women-specific evidence-based program and practices.

Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #: 1
Indicator: Percentage of pregnant women receiving women-specific evidence based programs and practices in residential treatment.
Baseline Measurement: 2% of pregnant women received women-specific evidence based programs and practices in SFY 2012.
First-year target/outcome measurement: 50% of pregnant women receiving treatment will be exposed to women-specific evidence-based programs and practices.
Second-year target/outcome measurement: All pregnant women receiving treatment will be exposed to women-specific evidence-based programs and practices.
New Second-year target/outcome measurement (*if needed*):

Data Source:

Contract monitoring visits and reports.

New Data Source (*if needed*):

Description of Data:

Substance use disorder treatment monitoring staff will review records for description of EBP.

New Description of Data: (*if needed*)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (*if not achieved, explain why*)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How first year target was achieved (*optional*):

Trainings offered on effective Evidence Based programs for women with specific clinical supervision training on the importance of fidelity to EBP's. Target achieved.

Second Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (*if not achieved, explain why*)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How second year target was achieved (optional):

All women received CBT, DBT, Motivational Interviewing and/or Relapse Prevention services

Priority #: 2

Priority Area: Intravenous drug users

Priority Type: SAT

Population(s): IVDUs

Goal of the priority area:

Decrease the negative effects of untreated intravenous drug use and abuse of opioid medication, particularly drug overdoses

Strategies to attain the goal:

The Department will continue to support our detox, outpatient, Opioid Treatment Programs, and residential treatment network which serves IVDUs. The Department will support training and educational activities that focus on Best Practices for treating IVDUs. The Department will require programs that treat IVDUs to provide coordination with primary care and mental health physicians as well as other treatment and recovery support service providers

Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #: 1

Indicator: Increase quality of treatment and treatment access for IVDUs

Baseline Measurement: The number of OTPs accessing the PDMP in SFY 12 or SFY 13 is unknown.

First-year target/outcome measurement: Increase the number of OTPs accessing the PDMP by 2.

Second-year target/outcome measurement: Increase the number of OTPs accessing the PDMP by 6.

New Second-year target/outcome measurement (if needed): All OTP sites (there are 5 OTP providers operating from 12 sites) will access the PDMP

Data Source:

Department of Health, PDMP. BHOLD

New Data Source (if needed):

Description of Data:

Use of PDMP by OTPS. Count of providers.

New Description of Data: (if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

New regulations were promulgated in December 2012 requiring all OTPs to look at the PDMP. For SFY 14 all OTPs will be required to check the PDMP at admission, at increase in takehome privilege and at annual physical examination.

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How first year target was achieved (optional):

The addition of OTP Health Homes has improved coordination between medical and BH providers and improved OTP services and outcomes in general. All programs in all licensed modalities have been trained in opioid abuse, dependence and overdose prevention, and are monitored for compliance and quality of services. Technical assistance and training is provided when indicated.

The client information system will require modifications to identify women with dependent children.

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

Modifications to the CIS are taking longer than anticipated as a result of the vendor being acquired and resultant contractual and technological issues.

How first year target was achieved (optional):

Second Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

The state was unable to use state data systems to track this outcome. BHDDH-licensed OP SAT providers were surveyed to determine which parenting EBPs are offered and how many clients participated. Only two providers offer parenting courses. Providers indicated that they aren't able to afford to provide evidence-based parenting services, and there is no funding stream sufficient to support expansion of this service on a statewide scale. For these reasons, BHDDH will not pursue the goal of having 25% of SA, parenting women receive parenting EBPs. Instead, it will explore providing parenting education through its block grant-funded raining contract with SAMHLA.

How second year target was achieved (optional):

Priority #: 4
Priority Area: Persons with or at risk of TB who are in treatment for substance abuse.
Priority Type: SAT
Population(s): TB

Goal of the priority area:

Reduce the spread of TB.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Continue to monitor compliance with regulation requiring physical examinations and laboratory work to include PPD-Mantoux testing and making available TB treatment services.

Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #: 1
Indicator: Number of clients receiving PPD testing at admission.
Baseline Measurement: 100 percent of OTP admissions received a physical examination and laboratory work including PPD
First-year target/outcome measurement: 100%
Second-year target/outcome measurement: 100%
New Second-year target/outcome measurement (if needed):

Data Source:

Contract monitoring visits.

New Data Source (if needed):

Description of Data:

Review of client records.

New Description of Data: *(if needed)*

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved *(if not achieved, explain why)*

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How first year target was achieved *(optional)*:

All OTPs are required to provide PPD testing at admission

Second Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved *(if not achieved, explain why)*

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How second year target was achieved *(optional)*:

All OTPs are required to provide PPD testing at admission

Priority #: 5
Priority Area: Adolescents
Priority Type: SAP
Population(s): Other (Adolescents at a high risk or highest risk for substance abuse)

Goal of the priority area:

A measurable reduction in the percent of in-school, high school-aged (grades 9-12) youth reporting current (past 30-day) use of marijuana and other drugs; and a measurable increase in the percent of in-school, high school-aged youth expressing disapproval of the use of marijuana and other drugs.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Evidence-based programming directed at the entire school population, including students and staff- Universal Indirect, evidence-based programming for an entire grade of students- Universal Direct and evidence-based programming for students at high or highest risk for substance use- Selected and/or Indicated.

Footnotes:
Indicator: Percentage of youth reporting current and 30 day use of marijuana, alcohol and other drugs. Percentage of youth expressing disapproval of the use of alcohol, marijuana and other drugs.

Baseline Measurement: 2011 data shows that school aged (grades 9-12) alcohol use rates in the past month at 30% and school aged marijuana use in the past month 26.3%.

First year target/outcome measurement: To keep the thirty day use of binge drinking of alcohol below national average of 34.0% and lower it

by 1%. To decrease thirty day use of marijuana by 1%. To increase school aged perception of risk of using alcohol, marijuana and other drugs.

Second Year target/outcome measurement: To keep the thirty day use of binge drinking of alcohol below national average and to decrease it by 1%. To decrease thirty day use of marijuana by 1%. To increase school aged perception of risk of using alcohol, marijuana and other drugs.

Data Source: RI Student and Youth Risk Behavior Surveys, and evaluation.

Description of Data: The RI Student Survey risk and prevalence survey that is administered annually in 11 schools. This will be expanded to an additional 12 schools under the Partnerships for Success Grant and an additional 44 schools under the Student Assistance contract. The Youth Risk Behavior Survey is administered bi-annually to a school sample.

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Limited data available from the RI Student Survey due to loss of the vendor who was contracted to administer the RISS and provide analysis. The data deliverable was not performed. We will use a new vendor for 2016 administration and the RISS is the intended data source for increased perception measurement. We used the YRBS data to compare overall use rates but are unable to compare perception of risk.

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment: Achieved

How first year target was achieved: Evidence-based programming was implemented and directed at the entire population, an entire grade of students and those students at high or highest risk for substance use. Our 2013 data indicates that school aged alcohol use in the past month is at 30.9% with the national average at 34.9%. The 2013 data also shows that the school aged marijuana use in the past month is at 23.9% with a decrease of 2.4%.

Baseline Measurement: 2013 data shows that school aged (grades 9-12) alcohol use rates in the past month at 30.9%; school aged marijuana use in the past month 23.9% and school aged prescription drug use in the past month 13.5%.

Second-year target/outcome measurement: To maintain the 30 day use of underage drinking of alcohol below the national average of 34.9% and lower it by 1%. To decrease 30 day use of marijuana by 1%. To maintain 30 day prescription drug misuse below the national average of 17.8% and lower it by 1%. To increase school aged perception of risk and harm of using alcohol, marijuana and prescription drugs.

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment: Achieved

How second-year target was achieved: Evidence-based programming was implemented and directed at the entire population, an entire grade of students and those students at high or highest risk for substance use. The YRBS 2015 data indicates that school aged alcohol use is at 26.1% with a decrease of 4.8% from 2013. The YRBS 2015 data also shows that the school aged marijuana use is at 23.6% with a decrease of .3% from 2013. The YRBS 2015 data indicates that school aged prescription drug use is at 11.6% with a decrease of 1.9% from 2013.

III: Expenditure Reports

Table 2 - State Agency Expenditure Report

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2014 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2015

Activity	A. SA Block Grant	B. MH Block Grant	C. Medicaid (Federal, State, and Local)	D. Other Federal Funds (e.g., ACF (TANF), CDC, CMS (Medicare) SAMHSA, etc.)	E. State Funds	F. Local Funds (excluding local Medicaid)	G. Other
1. Substance Abuse Prevention* and Treatment	\$2,901,603		\$37,036	\$3,152,670	\$215,148	\$0	\$0
a. Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children*	\$394,694		\$37,036	\$3,152,670	\$215,148	\$0	\$0
b. All Other	\$2,506,909		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Primary Prevention	\$3,620,399	\$0	\$0	\$1,178,699	\$260,615	\$81,300	\$0
3. Tuberculosis Services	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4. HIV Early Intervention Services	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. State Hospital							
6. Other 24 Hour Care							
7. Ambulatory/Community Non-24 Hour Care							
8. Administration (Excluding Program and Provider Level)	\$358,366	\$0	\$757,733	\$1,510,669	\$1,213,073	\$0	\$0
9. Subtotal (Row 1, 2, 3, 4 and 8)	\$6,880,368	\$0	\$794,769	\$5,842,038	\$1,688,836	\$81,300	\$0
10. Subtotal (Row 5, 6, 7 and 8)	\$358,366	\$0	\$757,733	\$1,510,669	\$1,213,073	\$0	\$0
11. Total	\$6,880,368	\$0	\$794,769	\$5,842,038	\$1,688,836	\$81,300	\$0

* Prevention other than primary prevention

Please indicate the expenditures are actual or estimated.

Actual Estimated

Footnotes:

III: Expenditure Reports

Table 3 - SAPT Block Grant Expenditure By Service

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2014 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2015

Service	Unduplicated Individuals	Units	Expenditures
Healthcare Home/Physical Health			\$0
Specialized Outpatient Medical Services			\$0
Acute Primary Care			\$0
General Health Screens, Tests and Immunizations			\$0
Comprehensive Care Management			\$0
Care coordination and Health Promotion			\$0
Comprehensive Transitional Care			\$0
Individual and Family Support			\$0
Referral to Community Services Dissemination			\$0
Prevention (Including Promotion)			\$0
Screening, Brief Intervention and Referral to Treatment			\$0
Brief Motivational Interviews			\$0
Screening and Brief Intervention for Tobacco Cessation			\$0
Parent Training			\$0
Facilitated Referrals			\$0
Relapse Prevention/Wellness Recovery Support			\$0
Warm Line			\$0
Substance Abuse (Primary Prevention)			\$0
Classroom and/or small group sessions (Education)			\$0
Media campaigns (Information Dissemination)			\$0
Systematic Planning/Coalition and Community Team Building(Community Based Process)			\$0
Parenting and family management (Education)			\$0

Education programs for youth groups (Education)			\$0
Community Service Activities (Alternatives)			\$0
Student Assistance Programs (Problem Identification and Referral)			\$0
Employee Assistance programs (Problem Identification and Referral)			\$0
Community Team Building (Community Based Process)			\$0
Promoting the establishment or review of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use policies (Environmental)			\$0
Engagement Services			\$0
Assessment			\$0
Specialized Evaluations (Psychological and Neurological)			\$0
Service Planning (including crisis planning)			\$0
Consumer/Family Education			\$0
Outreach			\$0
Outpatient Services			\$0
Evidenced-based Therapies			\$0
Group Therapy			\$0
Family Therapy			\$0
Multi-family Therapy			\$0
Consultation to Caregivers			\$0
Medication Services			\$0
Medication Management			\$0
Pharmacotherapy (including MAT)			\$0
Laboratory services			\$0
Community Support (Rehabilitative)			\$0
Parent/Caregiver Support			\$0
Skill Building (social, daily living, cognitive)			\$0
Case Management			\$0

Behavior Management			\$0
Supported Employment			\$0
Permanent Supported Housing			\$0
Recovery Housing			\$0
Therapeutic Mentoring			\$0
Traditional Healing Services			\$0
Recovery Supports			\$0
Peer Support			\$0
Recovery Support Coaching			\$0
Recovery Support Center Services			\$0
Supports for Self-directed Care			\$0
Other Supports (Habilitative)			\$0
Personal Care			\$0
Homemaker			\$0
Respite			\$0
Supported Education			\$0
Transportation			\$0
Assisted Living Services			\$0
Recreational Services			\$0
Trained Behavioral Health Interpreters			\$0
Interactive Communication Technology Devices			\$0
Intensive Support Services			\$0
Substance Abuse Intensive Outpatient (IOP)			\$0
Partial Hospital			\$0
Assertive Community Treatment			\$0
Intensive Home-based Services			\$0
Multi-systemic Therapy			\$0

Intensive Case Management			\$0
Out-of-Home Residential Services			\$0
Children's Mental Health Residential Services			\$0
Crisis Residential/Stabilization			\$0
Clinically Managed 24 Hour Care (SA)			\$0
Clinically Managed Medium Intensity Care (SA)			\$0
Adult Mental Health Residential			\$0
Youth Substance Abuse Residential Services			\$0
Therapeutic Foster Care			\$0
Acute Intensive Services			\$0
Mobile Crisis			\$0
Peer-based Crisis Services			\$0
Urgent Care			\$0
23-hour Observation Bed			\$0
Medically Monitored Intensive Inpatient (SA)			\$0
24/7 Crisis Hotline Services			\$0
Other (please list)			\$0
Total			\$0

Footnotes:

III: Expenditure Reports

Table 4 - State Agency SABG Expenditure Compliance Report

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2012 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2014

Category	FY 2013 SAPT Block Grant Award
1. Substance Abuse Prevention* and Treatment	\$3,869,784
2. Primary Prevention	\$2,348,568
3. Tuberculosis Services	\$0
4. HIV Early Intervention Services**	\$0
5. Administration (excluding program/provider level)	\$98,227
6. Total	\$6,316,579

*Prevention other than Primary Prevention

**HIV Designated States

Footnotes:

Expenditures for Resource Development are within the treatment and prevention lines as follows:

Treatment: \$53,484

Prevention: \$113,215

III: Expenditure Reports

Table 5a - Primary Prevention Expenditures Checklist

Expenditure Period Start Date: Expenditure Period End Date:

Strategy	IOM Target	SAPT Block Grant	Other Federal	State	Local	Other
Information Dissemination	Selective	\$ <input type="text"/>				
Information Dissemination	Indicated	\$ <input type="text"/>				
Information Dissemination	Universal	\$ 194,931	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ 895,717	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Information Dissemination	Unspecified	\$ <input type="text"/>				
Information Dissemination	Total	\$ 194,931	\$	\$ 895,717	\$	\$
Education	Selective	\$ <input type="text"/>				
Education	Indicated	\$ <input type="text"/>				
Education	Universal	\$ 93,943	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Education	Unspecified	\$ <input type="text"/>				
Education	Total	\$ 93,943	\$	\$	\$	\$
Alternatives	Selective	\$ <input type="text"/>				
Alternatives	Indicated	\$ <input type="text"/>				
Alternatives	Universal	\$ 4,697	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Alternatives	Unspecified	\$ <input type="text"/>				
Alternatives	Total	\$ 4,697	\$	\$	\$	\$
Problem Identification and Referral	Selective	\$ 1,639,300	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Problem Identification and Referral	Indicated	\$ 30,531	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Problem Identification and Referral	Universal	\$ <input type="text"/>				
Problem Identification and Referral	Unspecified	\$ <input type="text"/>				
Problem Identification and Referral	Total	\$ 1,669,831	\$	\$	\$	\$
Community-Based Process	Selective	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ 0	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>

Community-Based Process	Indicated	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$0 <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Community-Based Process	Universal	\$ 380,468 <input type="text"/>	\$0 <input type="text"/>	\$ 895,717 <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Community-Based Process	Unspecified	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$0 <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Community-Based Process	Total	\$380,468	\$0	\$895,717	\$	\$
Environmental	Selective	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Environmental	Indicated	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Environmental	Universal	\$ 4,698 <input type="text"/>	\$ 422,438 <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Environmental	Unspecified	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ 285,820 <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Environmental	Total	\$4,698	\$708,258	\$	\$	\$
Section 1926 Tobacco	Selective	\$0 <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Section 1926 Tobacco	Indicated	\$0 <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Section 1926 Tobacco	Universal	\$0 <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ 106,272 <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Section 1926 Tobacco	Unspecified	\$0 <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Section 1926 Tobacco	Total	\$0	\$	\$106,272	\$	\$
Other	Selective	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Other	Indicated	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Other	Universal	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Other	Unspecified	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ 190,546 <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>	\$ 204,952 <input type="text"/>	\$ <input type="text"/>
Other	Total	\$	\$190,546	\$	\$204,952	\$
	Grand Total	\$2,348,568	\$898,804	\$1,897,706	\$204,952	\$

Footnotes:

SYNAR was paid by 100% state funds during the period of this report.

III: Expenditure Reports

Table 5b - Primary Prevention Expenditures by IOM Category

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2012 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2014

Activity	SAPT Block Grant	Other Federal Funds	State Funds	Local Funds	Other
Universal Direct	\$574,890	\$898,804			
Universal Indirect	\$103,847		\$1,897,706	\$204,952	
Selective	\$1,639,300				
Indicated	\$30,531				
Column Total	\$2,348,568.00	\$898,804.00	\$1,897,706.00	\$204,952.00	\$0.00

Footnotes:

III: Expenditure Reports

Table 5c - SABG Primary Prevention Priorities and Special Population Categories

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2012 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2014

Targeted Substances	
Alcohol	b
Tobacco	b
Marijuana	b
Prescription Drugs	b
Cocaine	e
Heroin	e
Inhalants	e
Methamphetamine	e
Synthetic Drugs (i.e. Bath salts, Spice, K2)	e
Targeted Populations	
Students in College	e
Military Families	e
LGBTQ	b
American Indians/Alaska Natives	b
African American	b
Hispanic	b
Homeless	e
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islanders	e
Asian	e
Rural	b
Underserved Racial and Ethnic Minorities	b

Footnotes:

III: Expenditure Reports

Table 6 - Resource Development Expenditure Checklist

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2012 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2014

Resource Development Expenditures Checklist						
Activity	A. Prevention-MH	B. Prevention-SA	C. Treatment-MH	D. Treatment-SA	E. Combined	F. Total
1. Planning, Coordination and Needs Assessment				\$50,364.00		\$50,364.00
2. Quality Assurance						\$0.00
3. Training (Post-Employment)		\$5,192.00				\$5,192.00
4. Program Development						\$0.00
5. Research and Evaluation		\$108,023.00				\$108,023.00
6. Information Systems				\$3,120.00		\$3,120.00
7. Education (Pre-Employment)						\$0.00
8. Total	\$0.00	\$113,215.00	\$0.00	\$53,484.00	\$0.00	\$166,699.00

Footnotes:

these expenditures are within the associated prevention and treatment lines in table 4. they will not be represented in table 7

III: Expenditure Reports

Table 7 - Statewide Entity Inventory

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2012 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2014

Entity Number	I-BHS ID		Area Served (Statewide or SubState Planning Area)	Provider / Program Name	Mailing Address	City	State	Zip	SAPT Block Grant - A. Block Grant Funds (B + D + E)	SAPT Block Grant - B. Prevention (other than primary prevention) and Treatment Services	SAPT Block Grant - C. Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children	SAPT Block Grant - D. Primary Prevention	SAPT Block Grant - E. Early Intervention Services for HIV
BRD1	RI750156		Statewide	Bridgemark	2020 Elmwood Avenue	Warwick	RI	02888	\$167,245	\$167,245	\$0	\$0	\$0
RI101012	RI101012		Statewide	Child & Family of Newport	19 Valley Road	Middletown	RI	02842	\$106,054	\$0	\$0	\$106,054	\$0
RI100279	RI100279		Statewide	Coastland EAP	120 Centerville Road Center Point Office Park	Warwick	RI	02886	\$1,191,893	\$0	\$0	\$1,191,893	\$0
RI900975	RI900975		Statewide	CODAC Inc - Outpatient	850 Waterman Avenue	East Providence	RI	02914	\$26,700	\$26,700	\$26,700	\$0	\$0
COD1	RI301356		Statewide	CODAC Inc - Student Assistance	1052 Park Avenue	Cranston	RI	02910	\$108,748	\$0	\$0	\$108,748	\$0
TRI2	RI750123		Statewide	Gateway Healthcare - Outpatient	79 Asylum Street	Woonsocket	RI	02895	\$14,895	\$14,895	\$14,895	\$0	\$0
TRI3	RI100220		Statewide	Gateway Healthcare - Womens Residential	Gateway Healthcare 1443 Hartford Avenue	Johnston	RI	02919	\$283,000	\$283,000	\$283,000	\$0	\$0
N/A	N/A		Statewide	JSI Research	44 Farnworth ST	Boston	RI	02210	\$127,980	\$0	\$0	\$127,980	\$0
KCM1	RI750115		Statewide	Kent Center	2756 Post Road Suite 104	Warwick	RI	02886	\$22,723	\$22,723	\$22,723	\$0	\$0
RI900686	RI900686		Statewide	MAP Alcohol & Drug Rehab	66 Burnett Street	Providence	RI	02907	\$371,284	\$371,284	\$0	\$0	\$0
N/A	X		Statewide	Marijuana Initiative	14 Harrington Road	Cranston	RI	02920	\$537,717	\$0	\$0	\$537,717	\$0
PHX5	RI301067		Statewide	Phoenix Houses of New England - OP	1058 Kingstown Road	Wakefield	RI	02879	\$16,780	\$16,780	\$16,780	\$0	\$0
PHX3	RI100550		Statewide	Phoenix Houses of New England - Residential	P.O. Box 398	Pascoag	RI	02859	\$542,565	\$542,565	\$0	\$0	\$0
N/A	N/A		Statewide	RISAPA Community TASK Forces	14 Harrington Road	Cranston	RI	02920	\$162,961	\$0	\$0	\$162,961	\$0
SST2	RI100824		Statewide	SSTARIBIRTH	80 East Street	Cranston	RI	02920	\$112,235	\$112,235	\$112,235	\$0	\$0
				The									

	RI103109	RI103109	X	Statewide	Providence Center - Detox	520 Hope Street	Providence	RI	02906-2532	\$1,536,034	\$1,536,034	\$0	\$0	\$0
	TPC4	RI900991	X	Statewide	The Providence Center - Long Term Care	c/o The Providence Center 530 North Main Street	Providence	RI	02904	\$293,653	\$293,653	\$0	\$0	\$0
	RI100584	RI100584	X	Statewide	The Providence Center - Outpatient	1070 Main Street	Pawtucket	RI	02860	\$67,766	\$67,766	\$67,766	\$0	\$0
	RI100865	RI100865	X	Statewide	The Providence Center - Plain Street	1002 Broad Street	Central Falls	RI	02863	\$361,420	\$361,420	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total										\$6,051,653	\$3,816,300	\$544,099	\$2,235,353	\$0

* Indicates the imported record has an error.

Footnotes:

III: Expenditure Reports

Table 8a - Maintenance of Effort for State Expenditures for SAPT

Did the State or Jurisdiction have any non-recurring expenditures for a specific purpose which were not included in the MOE calculation?

Yes No

If yes, specify the amount and the State fiscal year: _____

Did the State or Jurisdiction include these funds in previous year MOE calculations?

Yes No

When did the State submit an official request to the SAMHSA Administrator to exclude these funds from the MOE calculations? _____

Total Single State Agency (SSA) Expenditures for Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment		
Period (A)	Expenditures (B)	<u>B1(2013) + B2(2014)</u> 2 (C)
SFY 2013 (1)	\$10,012,460	
SFY 2014 (2)	\$7,511,957	\$8,762,209
SFY 2015 (3)	\$1,770,172	

Are the expenditure amounts reported in Column B "actual" expenditures for the State fiscal years involved?

SFY 2013 Yes No

SFY 2014 Yes No

SFY 2015 Yes No

If estimated expenditures are provided, please indicate when actual expenditure data will be submitted to SAMHSA: _____

Footnotes:
 MOE calculated by downloading expenditures from the State Controller's website for the period of the MOE. Only state funds that were under control of the SSA were used in this MOE calculation. The Department is currently working with SAMHSA to change SSA designation for the purposes of making MOE.

III: Expenditure Reports

Table 8b - Base and Maintenance of Effort for State Expenditures for TB

State Expenditures for Tuberculosis Services to Individuals in Substance Use Disorder Treatment BASE				
Period	Total of All State Funds Spent on TB Services (A)	% of TB Expenditures Spent on Individuals in Substance Use Disorder Treatment (B)	Total State Funds Spent on Individuals in Substance Use Disorders Treatment (A x B) (C)	Average of Column C1 and C2 $\frac{C1+C2}{2}$ (MOE BASE) (D)
SFY 1991 (1)	\$216,000	100.00%	\$216,000	
SFY 1992 (2)	\$236,663	100.00%	\$236,663	\$226,332

State Expenditures for Tuberculosis Services to Individuals in Substance Use Disorder Treatment MAINTENANCE			
Period	Total of All State Funds Spent on TB Services (A)	% of TB Expenditures Spent on Individuals in Substance Use Disorder Treatment (B)	Total State Funds Spent on Individuals in Substance Use Disorders Treatment (A x B) (C)
SFY 2015 (3)	\$3,384	95.00%	\$3,215

Footnotes:

These are actual expenditures supplied by DHS for Medicaid expenditures for clients that have qualifying substance abuse diagnosis. Only the state share of the Medicaid expenditures were used for this calculation.

III: Expenditure Reports

Table 8c - Base and Maintenance of Effort for Expenditures for HIV Early Intervention Services

Enter the year in which your State last became a designated State, Federal Fiscal Year __. Enter the 2 prior years' expenditure data in A1 and A2. Compute the average of the amounts in boxes A1 and A2. Enter the resulting average (MOE Base) in box B2.

State Expenditures for HIV Early Intervention Services to Individuals in Substance Use Disorder Treatment BASE		
Period	Total of All State Funds Spent on Early Intervention Services for HIV (A)	Average of Columns A1 and A2 $\frac{A1+A2}{2}$ (MOE Base) (B)
(1) SFY <u>1991</u>	\$0	
(2) SFY <u>1992</u>	\$100,473	\$50,237

Statewide Non-Federal Expenditures for HIV Early Intervention Services to Individuals in Substance Use Disorder Treatment MAINTENANCE	
Period	Total of All State Funds Spent on Early Intervention Services for HIV (A)
(3) SFY 2015	\$334,096

Footnotes:
these are actual expenditures.

III: Expenditure Reports

Table 8d - Expenditures for Services to Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children

Expenditures for Services to Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children		
Period	Total Women's Base (A)	Total Expenditures (B)
SFY 1994	\$1,964,739	
SFY 2013		\$1,818,789
SFY 2014		\$2,591,050
SFY 2015		\$2,213,279
Enter the amount the State plans to expend in 2016 for services for pregnant women and women with dependent children (amount entered must be not less than amount entered in Table IV Maintenance - Box A (1994)): \$ <u>2213279.00</u>		

Footnotes:

The Department has a listing of qualifying programs based on regulations located within the block grant application. Expenditures for those programs are identified for the purposes of calculating the yearly expenditures and are reported. Expenditures from other agencies with qualifying programs are used.

IV: Populations and Services Reports

Table 9 - Prevention Strategy Report

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2012 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2014

Column A (Risks)	Column B (Strategies)	Column C (Providers)	
Children of substance abusers	1. Information Dissemination		
	1. Clearinghouse/information resources centers		
	4. Brochures		
	6. Speaking engagements		
	2. Education		
	1. Parenting and family management		
	2. Ongoing classroom and/or small group sessions		
	4. Education programs for youth groups		
	3. Alternatives		
	1. Drug free dances and parties		
	4. Community service activities		
	6. Recreation activities		
	4. Problem Identification and Referral		
	2. Student Assistance Programs		
	5. Community-Based Process		
	2. Systematic planning		
	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition		
	4. Community team-building		
	5. Accessing services and funding		
	6. Environmental		
	1. Promoting the establishment or review of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use policies in schools		
	2. Guidance and technical assistance on monitoring enforcement governing availability and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs		
	3. Modifying alcohol and tobacco advertising practices		
	4. Product pricing strategies		
	Already using substances	1. Information Dissemination	
		4. Brochures	

	6. Speaking engagements	
	7. Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars	
	2. Education	
	1. Parenting and family management	
	2. Ongoing classroom and/or small group sessions	
	4. Education programs for youth groups	
	3. Alternatives	
	1. Drug free dances and parties	
	6. Recreation activities	
	4. Problem Identification and Referral	
	2. Student Assistance Programs	
	3. Driving while under the influence/driving while intoxicated education programs	
	5. Community-Based Process	
	1. Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactor-training, staff/officials training	
	2. Systematic planning	
	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	
	4. Community team-building	
	5. Accessing services and funding	
	6. Environmental	
	1. Promoting the establishment or review of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use policies in schools	
	2. Guidance and technical assistance on monitoring enforcement governing availability and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs	
	3. Modifying alcohol and tobacco advertising practices	
	4. Product pricing strategies	
At risk for marijuana use	1. Information Dissemination	
	1. Clearinghouse/information resources centers	
	3. Media campaigns	
	4. Brochures	
	5. Radio and TV public service announcements	

6. Speaking engagements	
7. Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars	
2. Education	
1. Parenting and family management	
2. Ongoing classroom and/or small group sessions	
3. Peer leader/helper programs	
4. Education programs for youth groups	
3. Alternatives	
1. Drug free dances and parties	
6. Recreation activities	
4. Problem Identification and Referral	
2. Student Assistance Programs	
3. Driving while under the influence/driving while intoxicated education programs	
5. Community-Based Process	
1. Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactor-training, staff/officials training	
2. Systematic planning	
3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	
4. Community team-building	
5. Accessing services and funding	
6. Environmental	
2. Guidance and technical assistance on monitoring enforcement governing availability and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs	
5. modifying marijuana advertising practices	

Footnotes:

IV: Populations and Services Reports

Table 10 - Treatment Utilization Matrix

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2014 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2015

Level of Care	Number of Admissions \geq Number of Persons Served		Costs per Person		
	Number of Admissions (A)	Number of Persons Served (B)	Mean Cost of Services (C)	Median Cost of Services (D)	Standard Deviation of Cost (E)
DETOXIFICATION (24-HOUR CARE)					
1. Hospital Inpatient	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Free-Standing Residential	1359	899	\$1,153	\$1,153	\$0
REHABILITATION/RESIDENTIAL					
3. Hospital Inpatient	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4. Short-term (up to 30 days)	59	57	\$2,192	\$2,192	\$0
5. Long-term (over 30 days)	1804	1406	\$3,118	\$3,118	\$0
AMBULATORY (OUTPATIENT)					
6. Outpatient	1795	1692	\$208	\$208	\$0
7. Intensive Outpatient	1039	944	\$0	\$0	\$0
8. Detoxification	83	78	\$0	\$0	\$0
OPIOID REPLACEMENT THERAPY					
9. Opioid Replacement Therapy	1720	1483	\$471	\$471	\$0
10. ORT Outpatient	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Footnotes:

IV: Populations and Services Reports

Table 11 - Unduplicated Count of Persons

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2014 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2015

Age	A. Total	B. WHITE		C. BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN		D. NATIVE HAWAIIAN / OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER		E. ASIAN		F. AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKA NATIVE		G. MORE THAN ONE RACE REPORTED		H. Unknown		I. NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO		J. HISPANIC OR LATINO		
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
1. 17 and Under	115	45	19	9	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	0	24	10	54	23	19	6	
2. 18 - 24	458	187	126	20	17	1	0	1	0	4	3	1	5	67	26	207	144	57	24	
3. 25 - 44	3053	1397	873	156	70	3	2	15	9	16	9	14	16	335	138	1566	970	270	101	
4. 45 - 64	1417	743	308	105	35	2	0	1	0	9	5	3	1	167	38	868	344	126	26	
5. 65 and Over	23	11	4	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	14	5	2	0	
6. Total	5066	2383	1330	295	125	6	2	18	9	29	18	22	22	595	212	2709	1486	474	157	
7. Pregnant Women	117		78		18		1		1		0		5		14		100		16	
Number of persons served who were admitted in a period prior to the 12 month reporting period																				
Number of persons served outside of the levels of care described on Table 10																				

Footnotes:

IV: Populations and Services Reports

Table 12 - HIV Designated States Early Intervention Services

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2014 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2015

Early Intervention Services for Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)		
1. Number of SAPT HIV EIS programs funded in the State	Statewide: _____	Rural: _____
2. Total number of individuals tested through SAPT HIV EIS funded programs		
3. Total number of HIV tests conducted with SAPT HIV EIS funds		
4. Total number of tests that were positive for HIV		
5. Total number of individuals who prior to the 12-month reporting period were unaware of their HIV infection		
6. Total number of HIV-infected individuals who were diagnosed and referred into treatment and care during the 12-month reporting period		
Identify barriers, including State laws and regulations, that exist in carrying out HIV testing services:		
Footnotes: Rhode Island is not a designated state		

IV: Populations and Services Reports

Table 13 - Charitable Choice

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2014 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2015

Notice to Program Beneficiaries - Check all that apply:

- Used model notice provided in final regulation.
- Used notice developed by State (please attach a copy to the Report).
- State has disseminated notice to religious organizations that are providers.
- State requires these religious organizations to give notice to all potential beneficiaries.

Referrals to Alternative Services - Check all that apply:

- State has developed specific referral system for this requirement.
- State has incorporated this requirement into existing referral system(s).
- SAMHSA's Treatment Facility Locator is used to help identify providers.
- Other networks and information systems are used to help identify providers.
- State maintains record of referrals made by religious organizations that are providers.
- 0 Enter total number of referrals necessitated by religious objection to other substance abuse providers ("alternative providers"), as defined above, made in previous fiscal year. Provide total only; no information on specific referrals required.

Brief description (one paragraph) of any training for local governments and faith-based and community organizations on these requirements.

the state provides technical assistance for faith-based and community organizations working towards licensure

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 14 - Treatment Performance Measure Employment/Education Status (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

Employment/Education Status – Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) [numerator]	95	196
Total number of clients with non-missing values on employment/student status [denominator]	1,323	1,323
Percent of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time)	7.2 %	14.8 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:		1,210
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:		1,411
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:		1,391
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		1,376
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		1,323

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Long-term Residential(LR)

Employment/Education Status – Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) [numerator]	93	104
Total number of clients with non-missing values on employment/student status [denominator]	343	343
Percent of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time)	27.1 %	30.3 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:		330
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:		369
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:		366

Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	355
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	343

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Outpatient (OP)

Employment/Education Status – Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) [numerator]	1,321	1,492
Total number of clients with non-missing values on employment/student status [denominator]	2,534	2,534
Percent of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time)	52.1 %	58.9 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:		5,663
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:		4,963
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:		4,743
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		3,154
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		2,534

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

Employment/Education Status – Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) [numerator]	537	544
Total number of clients with non-missing values on employment/student status [denominator]	1,288	1,288
Percent of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time)	41.7 %	42.2 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:		1,598
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:		1,597
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:		1,565

Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	1,541
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	1,288

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 15 - Treatment Performance Measure Stability of Housing (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

Stability of Housing – Clients reporting being in a stable living situation (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients in a stable living situation [numerator]	836	1,038
Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator]	1,159	1,159
Percent of clients in stable living situation	72.1 %	89.6 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:		1,210
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:		1,411
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:		1,391
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		1,376
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		1,159

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Long-term Residential(LR)

Stability of Housing – Clients reporting being in a stable living situation (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients in a stable living situation [numerator]	198	266
Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator]	326	326
Percent of clients in stable living situation	60.7 %	81.6 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:		330
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:		369
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:		366

Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	355
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	326

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Outpatient (OP)

Stability of Housing – Clients reporting being in a stable living situation (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients in a stable living situation [numerator]	2,550	2,546
Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator]	2,608	2,608
Percent of clients in stable living situation	97.8 %	97.6 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:		5,663
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:		4,963
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:		4,743
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		3,154
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		2,608

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

Stability of Housing – Clients reporting being in a stable living situation (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients in a stable living situation [numerator]	1,224	1,244
Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator]	1,311	1,311
Percent of clients in stable living situation	93.4 %	94.9 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:		1,598
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:		1,597
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:		1,565

Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	1,541
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	1,311

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 16 - Treatment Performance Measure Criminal Justice Involvement (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

Clients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of Clients without arrests [numerator]	1,265	1,313
Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on arrests [denominator]	1,343	1,343
Percent of clients without arrests	94.2 %	97.8 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:		1,210
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:		1,411
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:		1,391
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		1,391
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		1,343

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Long-term Residential(LR)

Clients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of Clients without arrests [numerator]	249	276
Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on arrests [denominator]	293	293
Percent of clients without arrests	85.0 %	94.2 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:		330
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:		369
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:		366

Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	366
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	293

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Outpatient (OP)

Clients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of Clients without arrests [numerator]	2,063	2,132
Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on arrests [denominator]	2,224	2,224
Percent of clients without arrests	92.8 %	95.9 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:		5,663
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:		4,963
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:		4,743
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		3,250
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		2,224

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

Clients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of Clients without arrests [numerator]	1,109	1,148
Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on arrests [denominator]	1,185	1,185
Percent of clients without arrests	93.6 %	96.9 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:		1,598
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:		1,597
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:		1,565

Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	1,561
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	1,185

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 17 - Treatment Performance Measure Change in Abstinence - Alcohol Use (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS – CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Alcohol Abstinence – Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator]	863	1,285
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	1,353	1,353
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol	63.8 %	95.0 %

B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator]		428
Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	490	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		87.3 %

C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator]		857
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	863	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		99.3 %

Notes (for this level of care):

Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:	1,210
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:	1,411
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:	1,391
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	1,391
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	1,353

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file

†Records received through 2/1/2016

Long-term Residential(LR)

A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS – CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Alcohol Abstinence – Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator]	177	287
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	355	355
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol	49.9 %	80.8 %

B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator]		117
Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	178	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		65.7 %

C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator]		170
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	177	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		96.0 %

Notes (for this level of care):

Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:	330
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:	369
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:	366
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	366
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	355

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Outpatient (OP)

A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS – CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Alcohol Abstinence – Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator]	1,537	2,433
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	2,617	2,617
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol	58.7 %	93.0 %

B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator]		943
Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	1,080	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		87.3 %

C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator]		1,490
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	1,537	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		96.9 %

Notes (for this level of care):

Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:	5,663
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:	4,963
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:	4,743
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	3,250
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	2,617

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS – CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Alcohol Abstinence – Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator]	712	1,148

All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	1,299	1,299
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol	54.8 %	88.4 %

B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator]		461
Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator])	587	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		78.5 %

C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator]		687
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator])	712	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		96.5 %

Notes (for this level of care):

Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:	1,598
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:	1,597
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:	1,565
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	1,561
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	1,299

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 18 - Treatment Performance Measure Change in Abstinence - Other Drug Use (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS – CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence – Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator]	667	1,224
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	1,353	1,353
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs	49.3 %	90.5 %

B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator]		575
Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	686	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		83.8 %

C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator]		649
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	667	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		97.3 %

Notes (for this level of care):

Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:	1,210
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:	1,411
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:	1,391
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	1,391
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	1,353

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file

†Records received through 2/1/2016

Long-term Residential(LR)

A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS – CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence – Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator]	122	275
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	355	355
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs	34.4 %	77.5 %

B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator]		157
Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	233	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		67.4 %

C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator]		118
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	122	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		96.7 %

Notes (for this level of care):

Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:	330
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:	369
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:	366
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	366
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	355

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Outpatient (OP)

A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS – CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence – Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator]	1,712	2,330
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	2,617	2,617
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs	65.4 %	89.0 %

B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator]		682
Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	905	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		75.4 %

C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator]		1,648
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	1,712	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		96.3 %

Notes (for this level of care):

Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:	5,663
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:	4,963
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:	4,743
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	3,250
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	2,617

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS – CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence – Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator]	678	1,091

All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	1,299	1,299
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs	52.2 %	84.0 %

B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator]		437
Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator])	621	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		70.4 %

C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator]		654
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator])	678	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		96.5 %

Notes (for this level of care):

Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:	1,598
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:	1,597
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:	1,565
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	1,561
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	1,299

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 19 - Treatment Performance Measure Change in Social Support Of Recovery (From Admission to Discharge)

Short-term Residential(SR)

Social Support of Recovery – Clients attending Self-help Programs (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients attending self-help programs [numerator]	694	1,246
Total number of clients with non-missing values on self-help attendance [denominator]	1,342	1,342
Percent of clients attending self-help programs	51.7 %	92.8 %
Percent of clients with self-help attendance at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1]	41.1 %	
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:		1,210
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:		1,411
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:		1,391
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		1,391
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		1,342

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Long-term Residential(LR)

Social Support of Recovery – Clients attending Self-help Programs (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients attending self-help programs [numerator]	79	287
Total number of clients with non-missing values on self-help attendance [denominator]	355	355
Percent of clients attending self-help programs	22.3 %	80.8 %
Percent of clients with self-help attendance at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1]	58.6 %	
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:		330
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:		369

Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:	366
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	366
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	355

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Outpatient (OP)

Social Support of Recovery – Clients attending Self-help Programs (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients attending self-help programs [numerator]	384	379
Total number of clients with non-missing values on self-help attendance [denominator]	2,127	2,127
Percent of clients attending self-help programs	18.1 %	17.8 %
Percent of clients with self-help attendance at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1]	-0.2 %	

Notes (for this level of care):

Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:	5,663
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:	4,963
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:	4,743
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	3,250
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	2,127

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Intensive Outpatient (IO)

Social Support of Recovery – Clients attending Self-help Programs (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients attending self-help programs [numerator]	310	631
Total number of clients with non-missing values on self-help attendance [denominator]	902	902
Percent of clients attending self-help programs	34.4 %	70.0 %
Percent of clients with self-help attendance at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1]	35.6 %	

Notes (for this level of care):

Number of CY 2014 admissions submitted:	1,598
Number of CY 2014 discharges submitted:	1,597
Number of CY 2014 discharges linked to an admission:	1,565
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	1,561
Number of CY 2014 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	902

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
 [Records received through 2/1/2016]

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 20 - Retention - Length of Stay (in Days) of Clients Completing Treatment

Manually Enter Data				
Level of Care	Average (Mean)	25 th Percentile	50 th Percentile (Median)	75 th Percentile
DETOXIFICATION (24-HOUR CARE)				
1. Hospital Inpatient	0	0	0	0
2. Free-Standing Residential	6	3	4	7
REHABILITATION/RESIDENTIAL				
3. Hospital Inpatient	23	5	10	26
4. Short-term (up to 30 days)	39	9	29	60
5. Long-term (over 30 days)	91	31	77	127
AMBULATORY (OUTPATIENT)				
6. Outpatient	135	34	79	153
7. Intensive Outpatient	42	14	26	41
8. Detoxification	80	74	80	85
OPIOID REPLACEMENT THERAPY				
9. Opioid Replacement Therapy	66	21	47	78
10. ORT Outpatient	296	58	158	377

Level of Care	2014 TEDS discharge record count	
	Discharges submitted	Discharges linked to an admission
DETOXIFICATION (24-HOUR CARE)		
1. Hospital Inpatient	0	0
2. Free-Standing Residential	1846	1815
REHABILITATION/RESIDENTIAL		

3. Hospital Inpatient	73	72
4. Short-term (up to 30 days)	1411	1391
5. Long-term (over 30 days)	369	366
AMBULATORY (OUTPATIENT)		
6. Outpatient	4963	3259
7. Intensive Outpatient	1597	1565
8. Detoxification	225	2
OPIOID REPLACEMENT THERAPY		
9. Opioid Replacement Therapy	0	220
10. ORT Outpatient	0	1484

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2014 admissions file and CY 2014 linked discharge file
[Records received through 2/1/2016]

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 21 - Prevention Performance Measures - Reduced Morbidity-Abstinence from Drug Use/Alcohol Use; Measure: 30 Day Use

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Approved Substitute Data
1. 30-day Alcohol Use	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire. "Think specifically about the past 30 days, that is, from [DATEFILL] through today. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you drink one or more drinks of an alcoholic beverage?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having used alcohol during the past 30 days.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	14.3	<input type="text"/>
	Age 18+ - CY 2012 - 2013	63.0	<input type="text"/>
2. 30-day Cigarette Use	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "During the past 30 days, that is, since [DATEFILL], on how many days did you smoke part or all of a cigarette?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having smoked a cigarette during the past 30 days.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	6.0	<input type="text"/>
	Age 18+ - CY 2012 - 2013	22.4	<input type="text"/>
3. 30-day Use of Other Tobacco Products	Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "During the past 30 days, that is, since [DATEFILL], on how many days did you use [other tobacco products] ^[1] ?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having used a tobacco product other than cigarettes during the past 30 days, calculated by combining responses to questions about individual tobacco products (snuff, chewing tobacco, pipe tobacco).		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	3.8	<input type="text"/>
	Age 18+ - CY 2012 - 2013	9.2	<input type="text"/>
4. 30-day Use of Marijuana	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Think specifically about the past 30 days, from [DATEFILL] up to and including today. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use marijuana or hashish?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having used marijuana or hashish during the past 30 days.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	15.1	<input type="text"/>
	Age 18+ - CY 2012 - 2013	14.1	<input type="text"/>
5. 30-day Use of Illegal Drugs Other Than Marijuana	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Think specifically about the past 30 days, from [DATEFILL] up to and including today. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use [any other illegal drug] ^[2] ? Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having used illegal drugs other than marijuana or hashish during the past 30 days, calculated by combining responses to questions about individual drugs (heroin, cocaine, stimulants, hallucinogens, inhalants, prescription drugs used without doctors'orders).		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	3.9	<input type="text"/>
	Age 18+ - CY 2012 - 2013	4.6	<input type="text"/>

[1]NSDUH asks separate questions for each tobacco product. The number provided combines responses to all questions about tobacco products other than cigarettes.
[2]NSDUH asks separate questions for each illegal drug. The number provided combines responses to all questions about illegal drugs other than marijuana or hashish.

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 22 - Prevention Performance Measures - Reduced Morbidity-Abstinence from Drug Use/Alcohol Use; Measure: Perception Of Risk/Harm of Use

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Approved Substitute Data
1. Perception of Risk From Alcohol	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How much do people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways when they have five or more drinks of an alcoholic beverage once or twice a week?[Response options: No risk, slight risk, moderate risk, great risk] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting moderate or great risk.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	74.8	<input type="text"/>
	Age 18+ - CY 2012 - 2013	74.9	<input type="text"/>
2. Perception of Risk From Cigarettes	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How much do people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways when they smoke one or more packs of cigarettes per day?[Response options: No risk, slight risk, moderate risk, great risk] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting moderate or great risk.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	90.4	<input type="text"/>
	Age 18+ - CY 2012 - 2013	93.2	<input type="text"/>
3. Perception of Risk From Marijuana	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How much do people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways when they smoke marijuana once or twice a week?[Response options: No risk, slight risk, moderate risk, great risk] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting moderate or great risk.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	59.7	<input type="text"/>
	Age 18+ - CY 2012 - 2013	51.5	<input type="text"/>

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 23 - Prevention Performance Measures - Reduced Morbidity-Abstinence from Drug Use/Alcohol Use; Measure: Age of First Use

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre-populated Data	D. Approved Substitute Data
1. Age at First Use of Alcohol	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Think about the first time you had a drink of an alcoholic beverage. How old were you the first time you had a drink of an alcoholic beverage? Please do not include any time when you only had a sip or two from a drink.?[Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first use of alcohol.risk.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	13.6	<input type="text"/>
	Age 18+ - CY 2012 - 2013	17.2	<input type="text"/>
2. Age at First Use of Cigarettes	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you smoked part or all of a cigarette?[Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first use of cigarettes.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	13.2	<input type="text"/>
	Age 18+ - CY 2012 - 2013	15.8	<input type="text"/>
3. Age at First Use of Tobacco Products Other Than Cigarettes	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you used [any other tobacco product] ^[1] ?[Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first use of tobacco products other than cigarettes.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	14.2	<input type="text"/>
	Age 18+ - CY 2012 - 2013	21.1	<input type="text"/>
4. Age at First Use of Marijuana or Hashish	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you used marijuana or hashish?[Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first use of marijuana or hashish.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	13.8	<input type="text"/>
	Age 18+ - CY 2012 - 2013	17.9	<input type="text"/>
5. Age at First Use of Illegal Drugs Other Than Marijuana or Hashish	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you used [other illegal drugs] ^[2] ?[Response option: Write in age at first use.] Outcome Reported: Average age at first use of other illegal drugs.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	13.1	<input type="text"/>
	Age 18+ - CY 2012 - 2013	19.9	<input type="text"/>

[1]The question was asked about each tobacco product separately, and the youngest age at first use was taken as the measure.

[2]The question was asked about each drug in this category separately, and the youngest age at first use was taken as the measure.

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 24 - Prevention Performance Measures - Reduced Morbidity-Abstinence from Drug Use/Alcohol Use; Measure: Perception of Disapproval/Attitudes

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Approved Substitute Data
1. Disapproval of Cigarettes	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age smoking one or more packs of cigarettes a day?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	93.1	<input type="text"/>
2. Perception of Peer Disapproval of Cigarettes	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you think your close friends would feel about you smoking one or more packs of cigarettes a day?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting that their friends would somewhat or strongly disapprove.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	89.0	<input type="text"/>
3. Disapproval of Using Marijuana Experimentally	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age trying marijuana or hashish once or twice?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	73.3	<input type="text"/>
4. Disapproval of Using Marijuana Regularly	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age using marijuana once a month or more?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	71.8	<input type="text"/>
5. Disapproval of Alcohol	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age having one or two drinks of an alcoholic beverage nearly every day?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	88.8	<input type="text"/>

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 25 - Prevention Performance Measures - Employment/Education; Measure: Perception of Workplace Policy

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Approved Substitute Data
Perception of Workplace Policy	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Would you be more or less likely to want to work for an employer that tests its employees for drug or alcohol use on a random basis? Would you say more likely, less likely, or would it make no difference to you?[Response options: More likely, less likely, would make no difference] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting that they would be more likely to work for an employer conducting random drug and alcohol tests.		
	Age 18+ - CY 2012 - 2013	19.3	<input type="text"/>
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013		<input type="text"/>

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 26 - Prevention Performance Measures - Employment/Education; Measure: Average Daily School Attendance Rate

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Approved Substitute Data
Average Daily School Attendance Rate	Source: National Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data: <i>The National Public Education Finance Survey</i> available for download at http://nces.ed.gov/ccd/stfis.asp . Measure calculation: Average daily attendance (NCES defined) divided by total enrollment and multiplied by 100.		
	School Year 2012	92.2	<input type="text"/>

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 27 - Prevention Performance Measures - Crime and Criminal Justice; Measure: Alcohol-Related Traffic Fatalities

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Approved Substitute Data
Alcohol-Related Traffic Fatalities	Source: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration Fatality Analysis Reporting System Measure calculation: The number of alcohol-related traffic fatalities divided by the total number of traffic fatalities and multiplied by 100.		
	CY 2013 - 2014	36.9	<input type="text"/>

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 28 - Prevention Performance Measures - Crime and Criminal Justice; Measure: Alcohol and Drug Related Arrests

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Approved Substitute Data
Alcohol- and Drug- Related Arrests	Source: Federal Bureau of Investigation Uniform Crime Reports Measure calculation: The number of alcohol- and drug-related arrests divided by the total number of arrests and multiplied by 100.		
	CY 2014	18.0	<input type="text"/>

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 29 - Prevention Performance Measures - Social Connectedness; Measure: Family Communications Around Drug and Alcohol Use

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Approved Substitute Data
1. Family Communications Around Drug and Alcohol Use (Youth)	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Now think about the past 12 months, that is, from [DATEFILL] through today. During the past 12 months, have you talked with at least one of your parents about the dangers of tobacco, alcohol, or drug use? By parents, we mean either your biological parents, adoptive parents, stepparents, or adult guardians, whether or not they live with you.?[Response options: Yes, No] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting having talked with a parent.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	58.6	<input type="text"/>
2. Family Communications Around Drug and Alcohol Use (Parents of children aged 12-17)	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "During the past 12 months, how many times have you talked with your child about the dangers or problems associated with the use of tobacco, alcohol, or other drugs?^[1][Response options: 0 times, 1 to 2 times, a few times, many times] Outcome Reported: Percent of parents reporting that they have talked to their child.		
	Age 18+ - CY 2012 - 2013	87.9	<input type="text"/>

[1]NSDUH does not ask this question of all sampled parents. It is a validation question posed to parents of 12- to 17-year-old survey respondents. Therefore, the responses are not representative of the population of parents in a State. The sample sizes are often too small for valid reporting.

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 30 - Prevention Performance Measures - Retention; Measure: Percentage of Youth Seeing, Reading, Watching, or Listening to a Prevention Message

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Approved Substitute Data
Exposure to Prevention Messages	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "During the past 12 months, do you recall [hearing, reading, or watching an advertisement about the prevention of substance use] ^[1] ?" Outcome Reported: Percent reporting having been exposed to prevention message.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2012 - 2013	86.1	<input type="text"/>

[1]This is a summary of four separate NSDUH questions each asking about a specific type of prevention message delivered within a specific context having been exposed to prevention message.

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 31-35 - Reporting Period - Start and End Dates for Information Reported on Tables 31, 32, 33, 34, and 35

Reporting Period Start and End Dates for Information Reported on Tables 33, 34, 35, 36 and 37

Please indicate the reporting period (start date and end date totaling 12 months by the State) for each of the following forms:

Tables	A. Reporting Period Start Date	B. Reporting Period End Date
1. Table 31 - Prevention Performance Measures - Individual-Based Programs and Strategies; Measure: Number of Persons Served By Age, Gender, Race, And Ethnicity	1/1/2013	12/31/2013
2. Table 32 - Prevention Performance Measures - Population-Based Programs And Strategies; Measure: Number of Persons Served By Age, Gender, Race, And Ethnicity	1/1/2013	12/31/2013
3. Table 33 - Prevention Performance Measures - Number of Persons Served by Type of Intervention	1/1/2013	12/31/2013
4. Table 34 - Prevention Performance Measures - Number of Evidence-Based Programs by Types of Intervention	1/1/2013	12/31/2013
5. Table 35 - Prevention Performance Measures - Total Number of Evidence-Based Programs and Total SAPTBG Dollars Spent on Evidence-Based Programs/Strategies	10/1/2012	9/30/2014

Question 1: Describe the data collection system you used to collect the NOMs data (e.g., MDS, DbB, KIT Solutions, manual process).

Kit Solutions.

Question 2: Describe how your State's data collection and reporting processes record a participant's race, specifically for participants who are more than one race.

Indicate whether the State added those participants to the number for each applicable racial category or whether the State added all those participants to the More Than One Race subcategory.

The state added those participants who identify as more than one race under more than one race subcategory.

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 31 - Prevention Performance Measures - Individual-Based Programs and Strategies; Measure: Number of Persons Served By Age, Gender, Race, And Ethnicity

Category	Total
Age	
0-4	
5-11	
12-14	2539
15-17	2821
18-20	438
21-24	
25-44	
45-64	
65 and over	
Age Not Known	145
Gender	
Male	2177
Female	3766
Gender Unknown	
Race	
White	3852
Black or African American	559
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11
Asian	98
American Indian/Alaska Native	104
More Than One Race (not OMB required)	484

Race Not Known or Other (not OMB required)	835
Ethnicity	
Hispanic or Latino	0
Not Hispanic or Latino	0

Question 1: Describe the data collection system you used to collect the NOMs data (e.g., MDS, DbB, KIT Solutions, manual process).

Kit Solutions and manual Excel documents.

Question 2: Describe how your State's data collection and reporting processes record a participant's race, specifically for participants who are more than one race.

Indicate whether the State added those participants to the number for each applicable racial category or whether the State added all those participants to the More Than One Race subcategory.

Our data collection system has a Multiracial category which our providers enter in directly. The state takes that number for Multi Racial and enters it into the BGAS More Than One Race Category.

Footnotes:

We do not collect Ethnicity in our Student Assistance Program. The RI Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (RIDE) has put restrictions on collecting this level of data detail to protect a student's confidentiality.

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 32 - Prevention Performance Measures - Population-Based Programs And Strategies; Measure: Number of Persons Served By Age, Gender, Race, And Ethnicity

Category	Total
Age	
0-4	
5-11	
12-14	265
15-17	863
18-20	21
21-24	
25-44	
45-64	
65 and over	
Age Not Known	6
Gender	
Male	518
Female	627
Gender Unknown	10
Race	
White	1040
Black or African American	32
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0
Asian	2
American Indian/Alaska Native	19
More Than One Race (not OMB required)	53

Race Not Known or Other (not OMB required)	9
Ethnicity	
Hispanic or Latino	0
Not Hispanic or Latino	0

Footnotes:

We do not collect Ethnicity in our programs. The RI Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (RIDE) has put restrictions on collecting this level of data detail to protect the student's confidentiality.

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 33 - Prevention Performance Measures - Number of Persons Served by Type of Intervention

Number of Persons Served by Individual- or Population-Based Program or Strategy

Intervention Type	A. Individual-Based Programs and Strategies	B. Population-Based Programs and Strategies
1. Universal Direct		N/A
2. Universal Indirect	N/A	
3. Selective		N/A
4. Indicated		N/A
5. Total	0	0

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 34 - Prevention Performance Measures - Number of Evidence-Based Programs by Types of Intervention

1. Describe the process the State will use to implement the guidelines included in the above definition.

The Selective and Indicated Evidence- Based Program Project Success is listed on the Federal List of Registry. We have also worked directly with the developer, Ellen Moorehouse, to ensure fidelity. All of the Universal Direct and Indirect Programs are also from the Federal List of Registry. We understand that inclusion on the Federal Registry in itself does not constitute status as an Evidence-Based Program. However, some of the EBP's have a long evaluation history, they were listed in previous versions of NREPP and are listed on other EBP Lists.

2. Describe how the State collected data on the number of programs and strategies. What is the source of the data?

The data is collected through Quarterly Reporting by the provider administering the EBP. This is reported into the KIT Solutions system and through an Excel Workbook.

Table 34 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION Number of Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies by Type of Intervention

	A. Universal Direct	B. Universal Indirect	C. Universal Total	D. Selective	E. Indicated	F. Total
1. Number of Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies Funded	9		9	12	3	24
2. Total number of Programs and Strategies Funded	9	9	18	13	3	34
3. Percent of Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies	100.00 %	0.00 %	50.00 %	92.31 %	100.00 %	70.59 %

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Table 35 - Prevention Performance Measures - Total Number of Evidence-Based Programs and Total SAPTBG Dollars Spent on Evidence-Based Programs/Strategies

Total Number of Evidence-Based Programs/Strategies for IOM Category Below		Total SAPT Block Grant Dollars Spent on evidence-based Programs/Strategies
Universal Direct	Total # 9	\$ 577377.00
Universal Indirect	Total # 0	\$ 0.00
Selective	Total # 12	\$ 1645382.00
Indicated	Total # 3	\$ 31306.00
	Total EBPs: 24	Total Dollars Spent: \$2254065.00

Footnotes:

V: Performance Indicators and Accomplishments

Prevention Attachments

Submission Uploads

FFY 2014 Prevention Attachment Category A:		
File	Version	Date Added

FFY 2014 Prevention Attachment Category B:		
File	Version	Date Added

FFY 2014 Prevention Attachment Category C:		
File	Version	Date Added

FFY 2014 Prevention Attachment Category D:		
File	Version	Date Added

Footnotes: